



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/681,941 | 06/28/2001 | Michael John Davis | 60LT01103 | 1253 | |
| 23413 759 | 90 01/29/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP | | | SHORT, PATRICIA A | | |
| 55 GRIFFIN RO BLOOMFIELD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1712 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | DAVIS ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | 09/681,94 | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | | | | | | |
| | he MAILING DATE of this communication | Patricia A. | | vith the correspondence addre | | | | | |
| Period for F | | ation appears on the | , 0010, 0,,000, | ing the conscipulation and the | | | | | |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply earned pa | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, is of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) along the reply within the set or extended period for reply will received by the Office later than three months after attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approper the mailing date of this control. | ent, however, may a utory minimum of th ill expire SIX (6) MC dication to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | | | |
| · <u></u> | esponsive to communication(s) filed | | | | | | | | |
| - | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ CI | Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| ,— | aim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction _ | rand/or election rec | quirement. | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | | |
| , | e specification is objected to by the | | _ | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | | _ | | | | | | |
| = | plicant may not request that any objecti | | | | 4.4047-0 | | | | |
| | placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be | | | | | | | | |
| • | | by the Examiner. No | ole the attach | ed Office Action of form FTO | -132. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice o | f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap | • | · <u> </u> | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1712

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28, 33-35, 38 and 39, drawn to curable polyphenylene ether composition, method of making and cured composition, classified in class 525, subclass 68.

II. Claims 29-32, 36 and 37, drawn to method of forming polyphenylene solid concentrate and solid concentrate, classified in class 525, subclass 391.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding resin without the chemically interactive compatibilizing agent and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Additionally, applicant is required to make the following **three** elections of species.

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the thermosetting resin that are epoxy resin, polyester resin, polyimide resin, bis-maleimide resin, cyanate ester resin, vinyl resin, benzoxazine resin and benzocylobutene resin.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8, 11-21 and 23-39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the compatibilizing agent that are polyvinyl acetal resin, styrene-butadiene-ethylene-butylene block copolymer, functionalized butadiene-acrylonitrile copolymer, styrene-butadiene core shell rubber and styrene-butadiene-styrene core shell rubber.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-11, 14-21, 23-28, 33, 34, 38 and 39 are generic.

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the curing agent that are amidoamine, polyamide, cycloaliphatic amine, modified cycloaliphatic amine, aromatic amine, modified aromatic amine, BF₃-amine adduct, imidazole, guanidine and arylene polyamine.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-14, 17-21, 23-28, 32-35, and 37-39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1712

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

P. Short

January 14, 2004

Phone (571) 272-1094

Fax (703) 872-9306

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Potru à sut